Electronically Filed 10/29/2012 11:43:43 AM DEC 1 JONATHAN QUINN STOKER 2584 AVIV CT 2 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89121 CLERK OF THE COURT 516-864-3499 3 8th DISTRICT COURT 4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 5 6 JONATHAN QUINN STOKER Case No.: D-12-463810-D 7 Plaintiff. Dept. No.: S 8 vs. 9 SILVIA ELENA BERISTAIN NAJERA 10 Defendant 11 12 13 **DECREE OF DIVORCE** 14 1. Comes now, Plaintiff JONATHAN QUINN STOKER, submits the above-entitled matter 15 for a default disposition, the court having before it all the files, pleadings and papers in the action, and being fully 16 advised in the premises, and fully satisfied that the action has been duly and regularly commenced finds that the 17 Court has complete jurisdiction in the premises, both as to the subject matter thereof, as well as the parties thereto; 18 2. That Plaintiff, for a period of more than six weeks immediately preceding the filing of this 19 action, has been and now is an actual, bona fide resident of the State of Nevada, County of Clark, and has been 20 actually physically present and domiciled in Nevada for more than six (6) weeks prior to the filing of this action. 21 3. That Plaintiff and Defendant were married on the 12th day of April, 2005 in Las Vegas, NV, and 22 have been and still are husband and wife. That there are I minor child who is either the issue of this marriage and 23 Defendant is not currently pregnant. The name and date of birth of the child is Michael Sheldon Stoker, 24 09/03/2005. 25 Non-Trial Dispositions: Settled/Withdrawn: Without Judicial Conf/Hrg Other Dismissed - Want of Prosecution Involuntary (Statutory) Dismissal By ADR FAMILY COURT Default Judgment 1 OFBADTIME*** S Transferred Trial Dispositions: ☐ Judgment Reached by Trial ☐ Disposed After Trial Start

25

mental disposition, views, likes and dislikes of Plaintiff and Defendant have become so widely divergent that the

25

1	parties have become incompatible in marriage to such an extent that it is impossible for them to live together as
2	husband and wife; that the incompatibility between Plaintiff and Defendant is so great that there is no possibility of
3	reconciliation between them.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that every condition set forth in NRS
5	125.181 has been met.
6	IT IS FURTHERED ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the parties shall submit the
7	information required in NRS 125B.055, NRS 125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare Division of
8	the Department of Human Resources within ten days from the date this Decree is filed. Such information shall be
9	maintained by the Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record. The parties shall update the
10	information filed with the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten days
11	should any of that information become inaccurate.
12	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of the following provision of NRS 125.510(6):
13 14 15	PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.
16	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25,
17	1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country. The parties are also put on notice of the following provisions in NRS 125.510(8):
19	If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a foreign country: (a) The parties may agree, and the court shall include in the order for custody of the
20	child, that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in subsection 7.
21	(b) Upon motion of one of the parties, the court may order the parent to post a bond
22	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of the following provision of NRS 125C.200: If custody has been established and the custodial parent intends to move his residence to a place outside of this state and to take the child with him, he must, as soon as possible and before the
23	planned move, attempt to obtain the written consent of the noncustodial parent to move the child from this state. If the noncustodial parent refuses to give that consent, the custodial parent shall, before he leaves this state with the child, petition the court for
24	permission to move the child. The failure of a parent to comply with the provisions of this section may be considered as a factor if a change of custody is requested by the
25	noncustodial parent.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that they are subject to the provisions of NRS 31A and 125.450 regarding the collection of definquent child support payments. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that either party may request a review of child support pursuant to NRS 125B.145. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that after the divorce, any debt incurred by either party in their respective own name or on an account solely in their respective name shall be the sole responsibility of the party incurring such debt. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that each party shall be responsible for his/her attorney's fees and costs incurred in bringing this action. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE VINCENT OCHO 2584 AVIV CT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89121 516-864-3499